(

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,926	10/20/2000	Douglas J. Cowell	00-5019	8386
32127 VERIZON	7590 05/07/200	EXAMINER		
PATENT MAN	AGEMENT GROUP	TTC 600	LE, KAREN L	
	THOUSE ROAD, SUI VA 22201-2909	11E 300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2614	
,			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@VERIZON.COM

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available used the provision of 3°C PRT-138(a), in no event, howers, may a reply be timely filed If NO period for regly is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure for regly willish the set or extended period for regly will by status, cause the application to become ARANCHOL (3) u.S. C. § 130. Any reply received by the Office later than free months after the mailing date of this communication, even if famely filed, may reduce any seemed plants that malgustrance. Set 97 CFR 1-179(4). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13, 16-18, 20-43 and 46-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All by Cartified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 11) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2a). *See the			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Common for time may be available under the provisions of JCPR 1.136(J. in one eact, however, way a rely be timely like of the provision of the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one eact, however, way a rely be timely like of the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one eact, however, way a rely be timely like of the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one eact, however, way a rely be timely like of the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one eact, however, way a rely be timely like of the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one eact, however, way a rely be timely like of the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one eact, however, way a rely be timely like of the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one eact, however, way a rely be timely like or the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one eact, however, way a rely be timely like or the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one provision) or the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one provision) or the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one provision) or the provision of JCPR 1.136(J. in one provision) or JCPR 1.136(J. j.			09/692,926	COWELL ET AL.				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Electrocisco of time may be swalled under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(b), in one vent, however, any etcy be timely filled to the communication of 3 CFR 1.13(b), in one vent, however, any etcy be timely filled to the provision of the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(b), in one vent, however, any etcy be timely filled to communication. If provision is provided at the provision of the provision of the provision of the communication. Plants to represent by the Office later than there menters after the mailing date of this communication. Plants to represent by the Office later than the mailing date of this communication. Plants to represent a plants of the communication of the communication, which is a provision of the provision of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-13.16-18.20-43 and 48-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-13.16-18.20-43 and 46-53 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-13.16-18.20-43 and 46-53 is/are rejected. 7) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The oath or declaration is objec	Οπισε Αστιο	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(s), in no event, however, may a resply te timely filed Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(s), in no event, however, may a resply te timely filed Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(s), in no event, however, may a resply te timely filed Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(s), in no event, however, may a resply te timely filed Fabrico to reply visition between the provision of 37 CPR 1.78(s), in no event, however, may a resply te timely filed of the communication. Fabrico to reply visition the mailing date of this communication, event if mely filed, may reduce any example under the mailing date of the communication, event if mely filed, may reduce any example under the mailing date of the communication, event if mely filed, may reduce any example under the mailing date of the communication, event if mely filed, may reduce any example under the mailing date of the communication, event if mely filed, may reduce any example under the mailing date of the communication, event if mely filed, may reduce any example under the mailing date of the communication, event if mely filed, may reduce any example under the mailing date of the communication. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are pending in the application. 5] □ Claim(s) 1.13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are pending in the application. 6] □ Claim(s) 1.13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are re								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of mem rybe saviable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(a), in no event, mover, may a reply be limely field after 5N (5) MONTISS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply which the set or exceeded period for review life by status, each explicit set (1) MONTISS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply when the set or exceeded period for review life by status, each the application become ABANDONED (30 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Diffice later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed platent them adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is finAl. 3 □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4(S) Claim(s) 1-13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6(□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7(□ Claim(s)	The MAILING DA	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2007. 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-13.16-18.20-43 and 46-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12]☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1)☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to cor	mmunication(s) filed on 12 Ja	nuarv 2007.					
3								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	•—	•	· ·	prosecution as to the merits is				
4) Claim(s) 1-13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Partisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	4) Claim(s) <u>1-13,16-</u>	4) Claim(s) 1-13,16-18,20-43 and 46-53 is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1-13, 16-18, 20-43 and 46-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)	4a) Of the above of							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	6) Claim(s) <u>1-13, 16-</u>	6) Claim(s) <u>1-13, 16-18, 20-43 and 46-53</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) Claim(s) is/	/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) ar	e subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	-				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) The specification is	s objected to by the Examine	· ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10) The drawing(s) file	d on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1. Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application								
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	1. Certified co	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
;/								
		arr acontrippioation,						

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-13,16-18, 20-26, 29-37, 39-43 and 46-53 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaPierre et al (U.S. 6,795,543).

Regarding claims 1-3, 17-18, 20-22, 31-33, LaPierre teaches a method for processing requests for a network service, comprising the steps of:

receiving data from a customer designating routing destinations for service request calls and storing the designated routing destinations in a database (Abs. Lines 1-4),

receiving a call from a calling party, wherein the call includes a trigger number, determining whether or not the trigger number matches a predetermined trigger number (Abs.Lines 6-10)

requesting the calling party to select one of a plurality of related types of first service (abstract, lines 11-15) in response to the determination that the trigger number matches the predetermined trigger number, and

routing the call to one of a plurality of service centers based upon a response from the calling party to the request and the designated routing destinations indicated by the customer data (Abs. Lines 15-19).

LaPierre does not teach requesting the calling party to select a first or second service, in response to the determination that the trigger number does not match the predetermined trigger number. When the trigger number (universal number) does not match the predetermined trigger number LaPierre routing the call to the dialed directory number or instructing to play an error message back to the caller (Col. 7, lines 20-25). However, LaPierre teaches SCP relays a list of alternate destinations to the calling party and request calling party to select one of the alternate destinations associated with the subsdcriber (Fig. 2, step 214-224). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the teaching of LaPierre in steps 214-224 into step 212 of Fig. 2 in order to request the calling party to select a first or second service, in response to the determination that the trigger number does not match the predetermined trigger number. Such is a design choice or preference involving merely configuring a system as desired.

Regarding claims 4-6, 23-24, and 34-37 LaPierre further teaches wherein routing the call to a second service center further includes: locating the second service center based upon a calling party number associated with the calling party, locating the second service center based upon a state from which the calling party initiates the call (col. 16, lines 51-56), comparing the calling party number with a number plan area table to

Art Unit: 2614

determine the state from which the calling party initiates the call, collecting status information associated with the call, and storing said status information in a status log (Col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claims 7, 9 and 39 LaPierre further teaches the status information includes information associated with the service selected by the calling party, the type of service selected by the calling party, the service center to which the call was routed and abandoned calls, utilizing the collected status information to determine where subsequent calls are to be routed (Col. 5, lines 66- Col. 7, lines 9).

Regarding claims 10, 25, 40, 42 and 50 LaPierre does not teach when the first service center is associated with a first auxiliary service center, the method further including the steps of: detecting a network fault condition associated with routing the call to the first service center; and rerouting the call to the first auxiliary service center. Routing a call to another service center when detecting a network fault condition is old and well known in call center arts.

Regarding claims 11-13, 26, 41 and 43 LaPierre further teaches the first auxiliary service center is the second service center. The second service center is associated with a second auxiliary second service center, the method further including the steps of: detecting a network fault condition associated with routing the call to the second service center, and rerouting the call to the second auxiliary service center, and as is well

Art Unit: 2614

known in the call center arts, any of the plurality of service centers can be made to be an auxiliary service center. Such is a design choice or preference involving merely configuring a system as desired.

Regarding claims 16, 29, 30 and 46, LaPierre teaches the type of the second service is one of residential and business (Abs. Lines 14-15). LaPierre does not teach the first service is ADSL service and the second service is ISDN service and wherein the type of the first service is one of residential and business ADSL service, and the type of the second service is one of residential and business ISDN service.

Note that LaPierre is not limited by the services and/or types of services that may be offered and in fact, contemplate their systems being used for almost any service. Again, such is merely a design and preference choice. Therefore, requesting residential or business service would be obvious and is also old and well known as a distinction when requesting service. Also, because ISDN and ADSL are well known protocols and configurations in telecommunication system, such would also be obvious as a service type. Even applicant's claims suggest this flexibility and interchangeability between the services/service types.

Regarding claims 47 – 49 and 51, such a feature merely describes a manual action by a customer and has nothing at all to do with the implementation of operation of the claimed invention. If a customer or calling party wishes to manually consult with a report or listen to certain data before making his/her request and base his/her request

Art Unit: 2614

on that report or data, that is done before a call is even made. For the purposes of examination, examiner will still address the limitation.

As such, this limitation would be extremely obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because such a limitation is common sense. If a customer or calling party hears that a certain business or service is in-operational, of course that customer or calling party would adjust his/her request accordingly. In LaPierre et al. for example, the network routes converted calls to appropriate preselected carriers in accord with existing subscriber picks when necessary (Fig. 2, item 218). Likewise if the customer or calling party has personal experience or reads somewhere that customer service for a service provider for telephony service is inadequate, he/she will likely want to be directed to a different service provider for telephony service. Another example is commonly seen wherein sports fans will try to make calls to ticket brokers outside their local area(s) in order to have a better chance of getting through to a broker. Sports teams most always have the most popularity in the region or immediate locale. Therefore, calling ticket brokers locally or calling local ticket brokers usually results in more busy signals since the local traffic is jamming up lines. Not so in remote or other locales wherein tickets for that sports team is not as popular. Nearly any scenario can be contemplated.

Regarding claim 52, LaPierre teaches the designated routing destinations are non-predetermined (Fig. 2, item 224).

Regarding claim 53, LaPierre teaches the calling party and the customer are different entities (Abs. Lines 1-4)

Art Unit: 2614

3. Claims 8 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaPierre et al (U.S. 6,795,543) in view of Falcon et al (U.S. 2002/0076031).

Regarding claims 8 and 38 LaPierre further teaches the status information includes information associated with the service selected by the calling party, the type of the service selected by the calling party. LaPierre does not teach the service center to which the call was routed and abandoned calls.

However, it is old and well known in the call center arts to address the issue of abandoned calls as taught by Falcon et al. (P. 1, ¶ 0002) Falcon et al. also teaches a system for connecting a caller making a service request to any number of agents, remote or local, servicing a plurality of call centers, taking and storing caller information such as past caller history which would include any calls abandoned by a caller. (P. 3, ¶ 0025, 0028, 0029 of Falcon et al.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated such information in the combination of LaPierre et al. and Lee inasmuch as this is common problem, and because it is also old and well known for service centers to provide a higher priority to a caller who previously abandoned a call in hopes of gaining their business and lessening a caller's frustration at having to abandon their call.

Art Unit: 2614

4. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaPierre et al (U.S. 6,795,543) in view of US 4,839,916 (Fields et al.) and/or US 5,838,767 (Aoyama).

As to claims 27 and 28, What LaPierre does not teach is determining whether a call is from a test generator and if not, continuing with the above-discussed steps.

However, it is extremely old and well known for systems of any sort to have the ability to detect when a call or action is real or when it is merely a test. Fields et al. and Aoyama teach such systems. (Col. 18, lines 1 – 23 of Fields et al. and Col. 2, lines 18 – m39 of Aoyama) It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented such a test call check inasmuch as both Fields et al. and Aoyama teach test call generators for use in testing a telecommunications system. Moreover, just generally, there is ample motivation for the ability to check whether a call is a test call or real. Determining whether or not a call is real would enable a user to save resources for example. Also, if for example, one considers an alarm system that should be tested, it would be desirable for the system to know when an alarm is a test alarm or actual so as not to incur subsequent action from the police or security. Moreover, in terms of statistics-gathering, it would be desirable for a telecommunications systems not to include test calls in actual data. These are simply a few motivations. Finally, the claimed "tests" that are claimed are commensurate with the operation of the system regarding receiving requests, properly processing those requests, etc. Therefore, any testing feature or test call generator would obviously be used to test such operation of the system. As noted above, tests or

Art Unit: 2614

testing systems can be used to test nearly any aspect of a telephony system. Such is a design choice or preference that can be implemented merely by addressing the programming of the test protocol and/or hardware and/or software.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13, 16-18, 20-43 and 46-53 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen L. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7487. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 2614

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karen Le KLL

April 26, 2007

AHMAD MATAR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600